

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/022,618	HENNING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Ungar	1642	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Susan Ungar.

(3) ____

 (2) Susan M. Pellegrino.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 14 June 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to tell Ms. Pellegrino that the restriction requirement inadvertently included claim 2 in the Group drawn to two polypeptide markers. Examiner offered to vacate the instant restriction requirement and send a new restriction requirement if Ms. Pellegrino thought that was necessary. Ms. Pellegrino agreed that claim 2 did not belong with the polypeptide invention being examined and that claim 2 should be examined when polynucleotides are examined and further that claim 2 should be withdrawn from consideration at this time.